



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, DC 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

MAIL

SEP 04 2001

DIRECTOR OFFICE  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Christensen O'Connor Johnson Kindness  
1420 FIFTH AVENUE  
SUITE 2800  
SEATTLE , WA 98101-2347

Paper No. 6

In re Application of : B Harford et al.  
Application No. 09/648,124  
Filed: August 25, 2000  
For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR  
MATCHING AN OFFER WITH A QUOTE

DECISION ON PETITION  
TO MAKE SPECIAL

This is a decision on the petition, filed August 22, 2001 under 37 C.F.R. §102(d) and M.P.E.P. § 708.02(VIII): Accelerated Examination, to make the above-identified application special.

M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section VIII which sets out the prerequisites for a grantable petition for Accelerated Examination under 37 C.F.R. § 102(d) states in relevant part:

A new application (one which has not received any examination by the examiner) may be granted special status provided that applicant (and this term includes applicant's attorney or agent) complies with each of the following items:

- (A) Submits a petition to make special accompanied by the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(i);
- (B) Presents all claims directed to a single invention, or if the Office determines that all the claims presented are not obviously directed to a single invention, will make an election without traverse as a prerequisite to the grant of special status...;
- (C) Submits a statement(s) that a pre-examination search was made, listing the field of search by class and subclass, publication, Chemical Abstracts, foreign patents, etc. A search made by a foreign patent office satisfies this requirement;
- (D) Submits one copy each of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims if said references are not already of record; and
- (E) Submits a detailed discussion of the references, which discussion points out, with the particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111 (b) and (c), how the claimed subject matter is patentable over the references.

In those instances where the request for this special status does not meet all the prerequisites set forth above, applicant will be notified and the defects in the request will be stated. The application will remain in the status of a new application awaiting action in its regular turn. In those instances where a request is defective in one or more respects, applicant will be given one opportunity to perfect the request in a renewed petition to make special. If perfected, the request will then be granted. If not perfected in the first renewed petition, any additional renewed petitions to make special may or may not be considered at the discretion of the Group Special Program Examiner.

Applicant's submission is deficient in that it does not comply with (B) above. With respect to (B), while a statement is found regarding making an election without traverse if the claims are not directed to a single invention, no statement is found asserting that all the claims presented are directed to a single invention. It is noted that different reasons for asserting patentability are found in the discussion of claims 1-15 and the discussion of claims 26-31 and 32-37.

Accordingly, the Petition is **DENIED**. The application file is being forwarded to Central Files to await examination in its proper turn based on its effective filing date.

Any request for reconsideration must be filed within two months of the mailing date of this decision.



Robert A. Weinhardt  
Special Program Examiner  
Technology Center 2100  
Computer Architecture, Software,  
& Electronic Commerce  
703-305-9780